

Proposal Title :	Kempsey LEP 2013 Amendment 10 - Alter the minimum lot size map for land at 1023 Crescent Head Road, Crescent Head		
Proposal Summary :	This Planning Proposal seeks to amend the Kempsey LEP 2013 by altering the minimum lot size for Lot 41, DP 1098163, Crescent Head Road, Crescent Head from 8ha to 4ha. This will enable the subdivision of the land into 2 lots.		
PP Number :	PP_2016_KEMPS_001_00	Dop File No :	16/07687
roposal Details			
Date Planning Proposal Received :	01-Jun-2016	LGA covered :	Kempsey
Region :	Northern	RPA :	Kempsey Shire Council
State Electorate :	OXLEY	Section of the Act ;	55 - Planning Proposal
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning		
ocation Details			
Street :			
Suburb :	City :		Postcode :
Land Parcel : Lo	t 41 DP 1098163, Crescent Head F	Road, Crescent Head	
DoP Planning Offi	cer Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Jon Stone		
Contact Number :	0267019688		
Contact Email :	jon.stone@planning.nsw.gov.au	L	
RPA Contact Deta	ils		
Contact Name :	Peter Orr		
Contact Number :	0265663200		
Contact Email :	peter.orr@kempsey.nsw.gov.au	I	
DoP Project Mana	ger Contact Details		
Contact Name :			
Contact Number :			
Contact Email :			
Land Release Data	2		
Growth Centre :		Release Area Name :	
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :		Consistent with Strategy	1:

Crescent Head Road,	Crescent Head		
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	N/A
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	1
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment :		ing and Environment's Code of Pra etings with lobbyists has been com	
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	Νο		
If Yes, comment :	The Northern Region office has not met any lobbyists in relation to this proposal, nor has the Region been advised of any meeting between other officers within the agency and lobbyists concerning this proposal.		
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :			
External Supporting Notes :	rural residential lots. The proposed to change the a	ntends to facilitate the subdivision I land is zoned RU4 Primary Produc zoning of the site. The reduction in odivided into two lots. The land has	ction Small Lots but it is not I the minimum lot size will
Adequacy Assessmen	t		
Statement of the obj	ectives - s55(2)(a)		
Is a statement of the ob	jectives provided? Yes		
Comment :	•	ctives adequately describes the int Kempsey LEP 2013 to permit furth	
Explanation of provi	sions provided - s55(2)(b)	
Is an explanation of prov	visions provided? Yes		
Comment :		Il provides an explanation of the int ed outcomes, namely a change to ti	
Justification - s55 (2)(c)		
a) Has Council's strateg	y been agreed to by the Di	rector General? Yes	
b) S.117 directions ident	tified by RPA :	1.2 Rural Zones	
* May need the Director	General's agreement	1.5 Rural Lands 2.1 Environment Protection Zou 2.2 Coastal Protection 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils	nes

Crescent Head Road, Cre	escent Head	
		4.3 Flood Prone Land
		4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection
		5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies
Is the Director General's	s agreement required? Yo	es
c) Consistent with Standard	d Instrument (LEPs) Orde	er 2006 : Yes
d) Which SEPPs have the	RPA identified?	SEPP No 14—Coastal Wetlands SEPP No 44—Koala Habitat Protection SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007 SEPP (Rural Lands) 2008
e) List any other matters that need to be considered :		applicable directions and SEPPs is provided within the of this planning team report.
Have inconsistencies with i	items a), b) and d) being	adequately justified? Yes
If No, explain :	See the 'Assessment'	section of this planning team report.
Mapping Provided - s5	5(2)(d)	
Is mapping provided? Yes		
Comment :		al includes maps that identify the site and the current and ot size standards. These maps are considered suitable for on.
		comply with the Department's 'Standard Technical Requirements ed to be prepared prior to the making of the LEP.
Community consultation	on - s55(2)(e)	
Has community consultatio	n been proposed?	
Comment :	14 day public exhibition	I has not indicated a set timeframe for community consultation. A on/community consultation period is considered appropriate given a minor variation in the minimum lot size provision for the site.
	Consultation with the relevant Section 117 D	NSW Rural Fire Service will be required in accordance with the Directions.
	Consultation with the of this Planning Prope	other Government Agencies is not warranted in the circumstances osal.
Additional Director Ger	neral's requirements	5
Are there any additional Dir	rector General's requirem	ients? No
If Yes, reasons :		
Overall adequacy of the	e proposal	
Does the proposal meet the	e adequacy criteria? Yes	
If No, comment :	The Planning Proposa	I generally satisfies the adequacy criteria. It does this by:
	2. Providing a suitab Planning proposa	riate objectives and intended outcomes ole explanation of the provisions proposed by the I to achieve the outcomes uate justification for the proposal;
	-	hich suitably identify the site and intended outcomes;

	 Indicating that public exhibition of the proposal will be undertaken; and Providing a project timeframe which suggests completion within 4 months. 	
	Timeline:	
	The project timeline has been included in Council's cover letter seeking the Gateway Determination. It identifies the LEP Amendment will be completed by October 2016. It is considered appropriate to provide a 6 month timeframe to complete this Planning Proposal.	
	Delegation:	
	Council has requested delegation to finalise the proposal and an evaluation checklist has been provided. It is considered that these functions should be delegated to Council given that the proposal relates to a minor change to the development provisions of one lot that is consistent with the endorsed Regional and Local Growth Strategies (see below).	
Proposal Assessment		
Principal LEP:		
Due Date :		
Comments in relation to Principal LEP :	The Kempsey LEP 2013 is a Principal LEP and came into effect on 20 December 2013. The Planning Proposal seeks to amend this planning instrument.	
Assessment Criteria	I	
Need for planning proposal :	This Planning Proposal is required to enable the subdivision of the land into two lots. The Planning Proposal is a result of the Kempsey Shire Local Growth Management Strategy (Rural Residential Component) identifying this land and surrounding land as having potential to be subdivided further for rural residential development.	

Consistency with	MID NORTH COAST REGIONAL STRATEGY (MNCRS)
strategic planning	
framework :	With regards to 'Rural Residential Development', The MNCRS requires that "land will only
	be zoned for release if it is in accordance with a local growth management strategy
	agreed to between council and the Department of Planning". although this PP is not
	'releasing' the land for development, it is considered that as the proposal is consistent with
	Council's Rural Residential Land Release Strategy that has been endorsed by the
	Department, it is therefore consistent with the MNCRS. Another action of the MNCRS is to
	protect regionally significant farmland. There is no land within the subject property
	boundary that is mapped as regionally significant farmland.
	The Planning Proposal incorrectly states that the Mid North Coast Regional Strategy
	(MNCRS) identifies the site within the Crescent Head Growth Area. It is recommended that
	this error be corrected prior to public exhibition. Despite this error, the proposal is
	consistent with the aims, objectives and intent of the Strategy.
	KEMPSEY LOCAL GROWTH MANAGEMENT STRATEGY
	The Rural Residential Component of the Kempsey Local Growth Management Strategy
	identifies the site within the its Stage 1 of the Crescent Head Land Release Staging
	specifically as having a minimum lot size of 4ha. The local strategy identifies the area as
	having constraints of bushfire, koalas habitat, flooding and landscape values whilst also
	having good accessibility, moderate infrastructure availability and market demand for
	rural residential housing. The constraints on this site are minimal and this Planning
	Proposal is consistent with the local strategy.
	STATE ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING POLICIES
	The proposal is consistent with the provisions of all applicable SEPPs relevant to the site.
	Comments are provided below regarding key relevant SEPPs for the proposed rezoning.
	SEPP 14 - Coastal Wetlands
	The site does not contain any land identified as SEPP 14 Coastal Wetland. The provisions
	of the SEPP do not directly apply.
	SEPP 44 - Koala Habitat Protection:
	The proposal is consistent with the Kempsey Comprehensive Koala Plan of Management
	(CKPOM). The site has areas of cleared land that provide opportunity to erect a dwelling
	with minimal vegetation clearing. It is unlikely that preferred food trees would be required
	to be removed for the construction of a dwelling.
	SEPP 55 – Remediation of Land:
	This SEPP requires a RPA to appropriately consider the potential for contamination prior
	to rezoning land. Although no land is being rezoned by this Planning Proposal a historical
	investigation into land uses across the site and a search of the contaminated land register
	has not indicated that any potentially contaminating activities have been undertaken on
	the site. This review is considered appropriate in determining that the land is suitable for
	further development.
	SEPP (Rural Lands)
	This SEPP is applicable as the land is currently zoned rural (RU4 Primary Production Small
	Holdings). The proposal is not inconsistent with the SEPP given that it is consistent with the
	Mid North Coast Regional Strategy and Council's local growth strategy. The proposal is
	consistent with the Rural Planning Principles under the SEPP. Land within the site that will
	remain zoned rural, can continue to be used for rural purposes.
	SECTION 117 DIRECTIONS
	The following directions are applicable to the proposal:
	1.2 Rural Zones, 1.5 Rural Lands, 2.1 Environmental Protection Zones, 4.1 Acid Sulfate

	Soile 43 Elead Duana I	4 4 Planning for Duchfire Deck	ection and 5.4 Inclosed
	of Regional Strategies.	d, 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Prote	ection, and 5.1 implementation
	Of the above s117 Direction 1.2, 4.1 and 4.4.	ns the proposal is considered to	be inconsistent with Direction
	density of land within a rur		s it seeks to increase permissible stified as the land is included in se Strategy.
	containing acid sulfate soil has not been prepared to s minor significance as the la and Clause 7.1 Acid sulfate	upport the rezoning. The incons and is identified to potentially co e soils of Council's LEP applies t e issues are appropriately consi	essment and/or management plan istency is considered to be of ontain Class 5 acid sulfate soils to the land and ensures that acid
	-	tion is currently unresolved. Con ired after a Gateway Determinati	
	the proposal is consistent v	nsidered to be inconsistent with with the Rural Planning Principle or rural lifestyle and housing, is orsed local strategy.	es under SEPP Rural Lands. It
Environmental social economic impacts :	The Planning Proposal is considered to have a negligible social or economic impacts due to the minor nature of new development potential on the land.		
	populations or ecological c previous land uses for cattl only small patches of veget	e is unlikely to contain critical ha ommunities or habitats due to tl e grazing. The land is significan tation present on the site. Due t ala food trees can be retained th	he nature of the land and tly altered and cleared with o the primarily cleared nature
	The land is not flood prone.		
Assessment Proces	s		
Proposal type 🗄	Routine	Community Consultation Period :	14 Days
Timeframe to make	6 months	Delegation :	RPA
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d)	NSW Rural Fire Service		

Kempsey LEP 2013 Amendment 10 - Alter the minimum lot size map for land at 1023

Is Public Hearing by the I	PAC required?	No	
(2)(a) Should the matter proceed ? Yes			
f no, provide reasons :			
Resubmission - s56(2)(b)) : No		
If Yes, reasons :			
Identify any additional stu	udies, if required.		
If Other, provide reasons	÷.		
Identify any internal cons	ultations, if required	:	
No internal consultation	n required		
Is the provision and fundi	ing of state infrastrue	cture relevant to this plan? No	
If Yes, reasons :			
	.pdf	DocumentType Name Proposal Covering Letter	ls Public Yes
2016-06-01 Cover Letter 2016-06-01 Planning Pro	posal.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter Proposal	
2016-06-01 Cover Letter 2016-06-01 Planning Pro 2016-06-01 Extract from	oposal.pdf Council Minutes.po	Proposal Covering Letter Proposal	Yes Yes
2016-06-01 Cover Letter 2016-06-01 Planning Pro 2016-06-01 Extract from nning Team Recomn	oposal.pdf Council Minutes.po nendation	Proposal Covering Letter Proposal	Yes Yes
2016-06-01 Planning Pro 2016-06-01 Extract from nning Team Recomn Preparation of the plannir	nendation 1.2 Rural Zones 1.5 Rural Lands 2.1 Environment 2.2 Coastal Prote 4.1 Acid Sulfate S 4.3 Flood Prone L 4.4 Planning for E	Proposal Covering Letter Proposal df Proposal ed at this stage : Recommended with Conditions Protection Zones ction Soils	Yes Yes
2016-06-01 Cover Letter 2016-06-01 Planning Pro 2016-06-01 Extract from nning Team Recomn Preparation of the plannir S.117 directions:	nendation 1.2 Rural Zones 1.5 Rural Lands 2.1 Environment 2.2 Coastal Prote 4.1 Acid Sulfate S 4.3 Flood Prone L 4.4 Planning for E 5.1 Implementatio It is recommende	Proposal Covering Letter Proposal Proposal ed at this stage : Recommended with Conditions Protection Zones ction Soils and Bushfire Protection on of Regional Strategies ed that:	Yes Yes
2016-06-01 Cover Letter 2016-06-01 Planning Pro 2016-06-01 Extract from nning Team Recomn Preparation of the plannir S.117 directions:	nendation 1.2 Rural Zones 1.5 Rural Lands 2.1 Environment 2.2 Coastal Prote 4.1 Acid Sulfate S 4.3 Flood Prone L 4.4 Planning for E 5.1 Implementation It is recommende 1. The Planning P	Proposal Covering Letter Proposal Proposal Proposal ed at this stage : Recommended with Conditions Protection Zones ction Soils Land Bushfire Protection on of Regional Strategies ed that: Proposal be supported;	Yes Yes
2016-06-01 Cover Letter 2016-06-01 Planning Pro 2016-06-01 Extract from nning Team Recomn Preparation of the plannir S.117 directions:	nendation 1.2 Rural Zones 1.5 Rural Lands 2.1 Environment 2.2 Coastal Prote 4.1 Acid Sulfate S 4.3 Flood Prone L 4.4 Planning for E 5.1 Implementation It is recommende 1. The Planning P 2. The Planning P	Proposal Covering Letter Proposal Proposal Proposal ed at this stage : Recommended with Conditions Protection Zones ction Soils and Bushfire Protection on of Regional Strategies ed that: Proposal be supported; Proposal be exhibited for 14 days;	Yes Yes
2016-06-01 Cover Letter 2016-06-01 Planning Pro 2016-06-01 Extract from nning Team Recomn	nendation 1.2 Rural Zones 1.5 Rural Lands 2.1 Environment 2.2 Coastal Prote 4.1 Acid Sulfate S 4.3 Flood Prone L 4.4 Planning for E 5.1 Implementation It is recommende 1. The Planning P 2. The Planning P	Proposal Covering Letter Proposal Proposal Proposal ed at this stage : Recommended with Conditions Protection Zones ction Soils Land Bushfire Protection on of Regional Strategies ed that: Proposal be supported;	Yes Yes

5. That the Secretary's delegate notes that the inconsistency with s117 Directions 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection is outstanding until consultation with the NSW Rural Fire Service has been completed;

Kempsey LEP 2013 Am Crescent Head Road, C	endment 10 - Alter the minimum lot size map for land at 1023 rescent Head		
	6. That the Secretary's delegate determines that the inconsistency with s117 Direction 1.2 Rural Zones and 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils are justified in accordance with the terms of the direction;		
	7. That State agency consultation be undertaken with the Rural Fire Service; and		
	8. That a written authorisation for Council to exercise its plan making delegations be granted for this matter.		
Supporting Reasons :	This Proposal is supported as it will facilitate the subdivision of the subject land in accordance with the Kempsey Shire Growth Management Strategy and have minimal impact on surrounding land.		
Signature:	A		
Printed Name:	Crang Diss Date: 10 June 2016		